

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/689,279	10/12/2000	Antti Kosola	5070-006 (GC 621)	7791
35411 75	590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C.			POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
3603 CHAIN E SUITE E	BRIDGE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FAIRFAX, VA	A 22030		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			(V)
Hi mil sa	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, (
	09/689,279	KOSOLA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert J. Popovics	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication and one of the communicat	1 .
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	g date of this communication, even if the	nely lied, may reduce any	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	October 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			5
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-9,17-19,42-44 and 77-87 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-9,17-19,86 and 87 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 77-85 is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oApplication Papers	r election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the service of	ts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not ic priority under 35 U.S.C. Its sentence of the specification has be ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	oplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicated or in an Application Data Shopen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specification by	eet. c
Attachment(s)	A) 🗔 Jackani 2000 O	umment (DTO 442) Donor No(a)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	

Application/Control Number: 09/689,279

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9,17-19 and 86-87 are allowed.

Claims 77-85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **42-44** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of **Baird**, **Mattelmaki**, **Martensson** or **French Patent No. 1,165,054**.

Claims 42-44 differ from these references by specifying the use of a second rotating drum filter. The use of a plurality of separation stages, to effect a greater degree of separation and/or make use of recycle streams, is well known in the art. Any basic course in Chemical Engineering Unit Operations teaches this. Accordingly, it is submitted that the use of a second rotating drum filter would have been obvious.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

This Action is Not Final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J.

Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert J. Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724